

REMARKS

Claim 39 has been amended. Claims 35, 36, 38-41 and 44 remain in the application. Further examination and reconsideration of the application is hereby requested.

In Section 3 of the Office Action, the Examiner rejected claim 39 under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by Childers (US 5,880,748). The Examiner asserts that Childers discloses all the claimed features of the invention.

Applicants have amended claim 39 to indicate that the first flow of fluid is "from a first valve" and the second flow of fluid is "from a second valve." Support for these changes are found throughout the specification. By having the fluid flows be through separate valves, the flow is through separate passages and not the same passage as the Examiner asserts for Childers. Withdrawal of the rejection under USC 102(e) and allowance of claim 39 is respectfully requested.


In Section 4 of the Office Action, the Examiner indicated that claims 35, 36, 38, 40, 41, and 44 were allowable. The Applicant wishes to thank the Examiner for the allowance of these claims.

Applicant believe his claims as amended are patentable over the art of record, and that the amendments made are within the scope of a search properly conducted under the provisions of MPEP 904.02. Accordingly, claims 35, 36, 38-41 and 44 are deemed to be in condition for allowance, and such allowance is respectfully requested.

Hewlett-Packard Company
Legal Department
1000 NE Circle Blvd.
Corvallis, OR 97330
Telephone: (541)715-4197
Fax: (541)715-8581
tim.myers@hp.com

Respectfully Submitted,

Mark Hauck

By: 
Timothy F. Myers
Patent Attorney
Registration No. 42,919